

TCD-5-93
August 1993

Scenario for Seeding a Singularity in $d = 2$ String Black Hole with Tachyon

S. Kalyana Rama

School of Mathematics, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland.

email: kalyan@maths.tcd.ie

ABSTRACT. The $d = 2$ string admits a black hole solution and also a singular solution when tachyon back reaction is included. It is of importance to know if the former solution can evolve into a later one. An explicit solution describing this process is difficult to obtain. We present here a scenario in which such an evolution is very likely to occur. In essence, it takes place when a derivative discontinuity is seeded in the dilaton field by an incident tachyon pulse. An application of this scenario to $1+1$ dimensional toy models suggests that a black hole can evolve into a massive remnant, strengthening its candidacy for the end state of a black hole.

I. The discovery of a black hole solution in $d = 2$ string [1] and Hawking radiation in the string inspired $1 + 1$ dimensional toy models [2] has generated a great interest in understanding the black hole dynamics in two dimensions. Models have been constructed incorporating quantum gravity effects and the back reaction of Hawking radiation to various degrees. However the underlying black hole physics is not completely understood even in this simpler context.

One thing of interest would be the end state of a black hole when it has stopped emitting Hawking radiation — dark black hole or DBH for short. There are various proposals for DBH but none of them is free of problems [2]-[6]. It is natural to expect that the static solutions of the above models, besides the black hole ones, may represent such end states and to look for them.

Indeed such static solutions have been discovered in $d = 2$ strings in [7]. In $1 + 1$ dimensional toy models they were discovered in [3, 4] and shown not to Hawking radiate. They were also analysed as representatives of massive remnants, one of the candidates for DBH. These solutions have singular horizons and are not black holes in the usual sense.

Mere existence of a static solution is not a proof that it is a DBH since a physical black hole might not dynamically evolve into it. To understand the dynamical evolution of black holes, one needs to solve the relevant (non linear) equations for any arbitrary incident matter wave. But this is a difficult task.

As explained in the review papers in [2], the $d = 2$ string β -function equations also describe the $1 + 1$ dimensional toy models which include the back reaction effects of Hawking radiation (see [2] for further details). Thus, the static solutions of $d = 2$ string would describe the end state of black holes in these toy models, and hence, the study of dynamical evolution of black hole in $d = 2$ string would be helpful in understanding the black hole physics.

In this paper, we describe a scenario in the context of $d = 2$ string where the fields originally in the black hole background evolve nonlinearly into divergent configuration, possibly the ones described in [7]. The impetus for this evolution can be provided by a localised tachyon pulse peaked sharply enough. This pulse (if it is a δ -function) seeds a cusp, *i.e.* a derivative discontinuity, in the dilaton field configuration. Analysing the equations in the nearby region, we find that both the cusp and the dilaton field grow as

they evolve towards the horizon, ending very likely in a singular configuration of the type described in [7].

It was argued in [3, 8] that a regular configuration cannot dynamically evolve into a singular one. We comment on how the scenario presented here evades that argument. Furthermore, an application of this scenario in the $1 + 1$ dimensional context described in [3] suggests that a physical black hole can dynamically evolve into a massive remnant described by the static, singular solution of [3, 4]. This would make massive remnant a likely candidate for DBH.

In this paper we first describe the static solutions of $d = 2$ string and present a scenario for dynamical evolution when a localised tachyon pulse is incident. We then comment on the arguments of [8], apply our scenario to the $1 + 1$ dimensional case of [3] and conclude with a brief summary.

II. We now describe the static solutions of $d = 2$ string. See [7] for details. The β -function equations for graviton ($G_{\mu\nu}$), dilaton (ϕ), and tachyon (T) are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi + \nabla_\mu T \nabla_\nu T &= 0 \\ R + (\nabla\phi)^2 + 2\nabla^2\phi + (\nabla T)^2 + 4\gamma K &= 0 \\ \nabla^2 T + \nabla\phi\nabla T - 2\gamma K_T &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{-2}{\alpha'}$, $K = 1 + \frac{V}{4\gamma}$, $V = \gamma T^2 + \mathcal{O}(T^3)$ is the tachyon potential and $K_T \equiv \frac{dK}{dT}$. These equations also follow from the effective action

$$S = \int d^2x \sqrt{G} e^\phi (R - (\nabla\phi)^2 + (\nabla T)^2 + 4\gamma K) \tag{2}$$

in the target space with coordinates x^μ , $\mu = 0, 1$. As can be seen from equation (2), the dilaton field $e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}}$ acts as a string coupling. Consider now the target space conformal gauge $ds^2 = e^\sigma du dv$ where $u = x^0 + x^1$ and $v = x^0 - x^1$. In this gauge equations (1) become

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u^2 \phi - \partial_u \sigma \partial_u \phi + (\partial_u T)^2 &= 0 \\ \partial_v^2 \phi - \partial_v \sigma \partial_v \phi + (\partial_v T)^2 &= 0 \\ \partial_u \partial_v \sigma + \partial_u \partial_v \phi + \partial_u T \partial_v T &= 0 \\ \partial_u \partial_v \phi + \partial_u \phi \partial_v \phi + \gamma K e^\sigma &= 0 \\ 2\partial_u \partial_v T + \partial_u \phi \partial_v T + \partial_v \phi \partial_u T - \gamma K_T e^\sigma &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We now define new coordinates $\xi = uv$, $\chi = u/v$, and consider the static case when the fields are independent of χ . Defining further $e^\Sigma = -\gamma\xi e^\sigma$ and $(\cdots)_1 = (\xi \frac{d}{d\xi})(\cdots)$ equations (3) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{11} + \phi_1 \Sigma_1 &= \Sigma_{11} + \phi_{11} + T_1^2 = 0 \\ T_{11} + \phi_1 T_1 + \frac{1}{2} e^\Sigma K_T &= \phi_{11} + \phi_1^2 - e^\Sigma K = 0.\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

If $T = 0$, the above equations lead to $d = 2$ string black hole solution of [1] without tachyon back reaction. These equations can also be solved explicitly with $T \neq 0$ if $V = 0$. In this case one solution is trivial where $T = \text{constant}$ and which again describes the $d = 2$ string black hole as in [1]. There also exists another solution where the tachyon field is non trivial. This solution thus incorporates non trivially tachyon back reaction on $d = 2$ string black hole and exhibits new features: the original black hole horizon is split into two and the curvature scalar develops new singularities at these horizons.

This non trivial solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned}e^\phi &= \beta_0 \tau (\tau^\delta - l)^{-1} \\ T - T_0 &= -\sqrt{\delta - 1} \ln \tau \\ e^\Sigma &= l \tau^{-\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

where β_0 and T_0 are constants, $l = \pm 1$ is the sign of ξ , $\epsilon \geq 0$ is a new parameter and $\delta \equiv \frac{1+2\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$. The variable τ is related to ξ by

$$\int_0^\tau d\tau (\tau^\delta - l)^{-1} = A(1 + \epsilon) \ln\left(\frac{\alpha}{l\xi}\right) \quad (6)$$

where α is a constant. The curvature scalar R becomes

$$R = 4\gamma(1 + 2\epsilon)^{-2} \tau^{-\delta} (\tau^\delta - l)(\epsilon \tau^\delta + l(1 + \epsilon)). \quad (7)$$

As explained in [7], the full solution is described by equations (5)-(7) in two branches. The branch I with $l = m = 1$ and $1 \leq \tau \leq \infty$ describes the region $\infty \geq \xi \geq \xi_+$ and the branch II with $l = m = -1$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq \infty$ describes the region $-\alpha \leq \xi \leq -\xi_-$, where $\xi_\pm (> 0)$ are defined by

$$\int_0^\infty d\tau (\tau^\delta \mp 1)^{-1} = A(1 + \epsilon) \ln\left(\frac{\alpha}{\xi_\pm}\right)$$

and vanish when $\epsilon = 0$.

The features of the above solution are as follows:

(1) $\epsilon = 0$: In this case the τ -integration in equation (6) can be performed and the dilaton and the graviton fields are

$$e^\phi = e^{-\sigma} = -\gamma(\xi + \alpha) \quad (8)$$

where $-\gamma\alpha = \beta_0$ is the black hole mass parameter. This is the black hole solution of [1]. The horizon is at $\xi = 0$. The curvature scalar R and the string coupling $e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}}$ are singular only at $\xi = -\alpha$. The tachyon field $T = T_0 (= 0, \text{ if } V \neq 0)$ corresponds to a trivial configuration and does not back react on graviton-dilaton system. Asymptotically, R and $e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}}$ vanish.

(2) $\epsilon > 0$: The tachyon field is non trivial and the solution incorporates tachyon back reaction. The horizon now is split into two located at $\xi = \pm\xi_\pm$. The curvature scalar R and the string coupling $e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}}$ are still singular at $\xi = -\alpha$ but now they develop new singularities at the horizons, $\xi = \pm\xi_\pm$. Asymptotically, R and $e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}}$ vanish.

(3) These singular features are not the result of the approximation $V(T) = 0$. Even when $V \neq 0$ these features persist.

(4) As pointed out by Peet et al. in [8], when $V \neq 0$ the static β -function equations (4) admit a solution which has non trivial tachyon field and which is regular at the horizon, thus retaining the features of the $d = 2$ string black hole. This solution can be obtained by expanding the various fields in a Taylor expansion near the horizon. It reduces to $T = T_0$ when $V = 0$ and has infinite energy when $V \neq 0$ [8].

III. It is now natural to ask if the singular configuration described above can be formed dynamically, say, in a way analogous to the formation of two dimensional black hole by matter shock waves in [2]. Ideally one would like to solve equations (3) explicitly and analyse the evolution of an arbitrary tachyon wave incident in the asymptotic region. However, equations (3) are nonlinear and the general solutions are difficult to obtain. Their analysis in the asymptotic region, as in [9, 10], is easier but it may not give any clue about the fields near the horizon. For example, the asymptotic static solution in [7, 9] does not reveal any information about the singular behaviour of the fields near the horizon.

However, in the absence of an analytic solution or numerical simulations, one can try to understand the dynamic behaviour qualitatively. In an attempt towards this goal we will now present a scenario in which a tachyon is incident on a black hole and a singular configuration is likely to result.

Equations (3) can be considered as describing the evolution of fields in u -direction. Thus one can arbitrarily assign an initial localised distribution of tachyon on a line $u = u_0$ in the asymptotic region and study its evolution towards the horizon.

Consider a $d = 2$ string black hole. The dilaton field ϕ decreases monotonically from the asymptotic region ($uv = \infty$) to the horizon ($uv = 0$). Now let a tachyon be incident on this black hole from the asymptotic region with a localised distribution on the line $u = u_0 \gg 1$ given by

$$(\partial_v T)^2(u_0, v) = a^2 \delta(v - v_0) \quad (9)$$

where a^2 is a constant. The tachyon field along u -direction is taken to be localised. This kind of matter distribution is commonly used in various toy models [2] to form $1 + 1$ dimensional black hole. In our case, we do not exactly need a δ -function in (9). Any sharply peaked localised distribution will do, as illustrated by an example after the discussion below. However, we will first continue with the δ -function.

We can see the effect of this tachyon field from the second equation in (3) which implies that, on the line $u = u_0$,

$$-\partial_v^2 \phi = a^2 \delta(v - v_0) + \dots$$

where $\dots$ denote the contributions from $\partial_v \sigma$ and $\partial_v \phi$ which are negligible compared to $(\partial_v T)^2$. Physically the above equation implies a discontinuity in $\partial_v \phi$ whereby the dilaton field dips a little deeper towards the horizon. Thus, the dilaton field on the line $u = u_0$ has a cusp at $v = v_0$. Now from the remaining equations in (3) one can see how this cusp evolves as one moves towards the horizon to lower values of u .

The tachyon equation in (3) gives the evolution of $\partial_v T$ as

$$-2\partial_u \partial_v T = \partial_u \phi \partial_v T + \dots$$

where $\dots$ denote contributions from $\partial_v \phi$, $\partial_u T$, T , and e^σ all of which are negligible compared to $\partial_v T$. Since u decreases as one moves towards the

horizon it follows that $-\partial_u$ represents the rate of change as one moves towards the horizon and that $\partial_u\phi$ is positive (since the dilaton field decreases towards the horizon). Thus taking $\partial_v T$ to be positive, we see from the above equation that $\partial_v T$ increases towards the horizon. Hence, $-\partial_v^2\phi$ also increases. That is, the cusp in the dilaton field ϕ , and therefore the discontinuity in $\partial_v\phi$, increases as one moves towards the horizon.

The fourth equation in (3) describes the evolution of $\partial_v\phi$ as

$$-\partial_u\partial_v\phi = \partial_u\phi\partial_v\phi + \gamma(1 + \frac{T^2}{4})e^\sigma.$$

For the initial black hole back ground that is under study, it can be seen easily that the right hand side of the above equation is negative. This implies that $\partial_v\phi$ decreases as one moves towards the horizon. Combined with the behaviour of $\partial_v^2\phi$ explained above, this indicates that the cusp in the dilaton distribution will grow without bound as one evolves towards the horizon using the full equations (3). This will also influence the evolution of graviton and tachyon through their non linear couplings to dilaton in equation (3). If there is a static end to this evolution, it is likely to be described by the singular static solution discovered in [7] where the curvature scalar and the dilaton develop new singularities at the (split) horizon.

In the above discussions the δ -function in (9) is unnecessary. It is used only to dominate the contributions of other fields and their derivatives. But this can be achieved by any smooth localised tachyon field with large enough v -derivatives. This will smoothen out the cusp in the dilaton and the above arguments will still go through as we will now illustarte.

Consider a tachyon pulse given by

$$(\partial_v T)^2 = \frac{a^2\lambda f(u - u_0)}{\pi(\lambda^2 + (v - v_0)^2)} \quad (10)$$

where $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and a^2 denotes the strength of the pulse¹. The function f denotes the localistaion in the u -direction and can be given, for example, by $f(x) = 1$ if $|x| < L$, and $= e^{\frac{1-x^2}{l}}$ otherwise, for some convenient choice of L and l . Thus the tachyon pulse is smoothly varying in u -direction and is

¹The tachyon pulse can also be represented by a normalised gaussian function, centered at (u_0, v_0) , in the limit when its width in the v -direction vanishes. However, the pulse represented by equation (10) is more convenient for our purposes.

localised. Using the second equation in (3) one gets, after an integration and using the initial black hole back ground configuration,

$$\partial_v \phi = \frac{u}{uv + \alpha} - \frac{a^2}{2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{v - v_0}{\lambda} + 1 \right) f(u - u_0) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) . \quad (11)$$

In the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the field $\partial_v \phi$ does indeed develop a discontinuity, proportional to the strength of the tachyon pulse.

We will now consider the evolution of the tachyon pulse and $\partial_v \phi$ in the neighbourhood of $(u, v) = (u_0, v_0)$, as one moves towards horizon; that is, as u decreases. From the expression for $\partial_u(\partial_v T)$ in (3) it follows, after an u -integration, that

$$(\partial_v T)^2 = \frac{a^2 \lambda \ln^2(uv + \alpha)}{\pi(\lambda^2 + (v - v_0)^2)} f(u - u_0) + \dots \quad (12)$$

where the initial black hole back ground has been used and $\dots$ denote sub-leading terms in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. From the above expression it can be seen that, in the neighbourhood of (u_0, v_0) , the strength of the tachyon pulse increases as one moves towards the horizon; that is, as u decreases. Similarly from the fourth equation in (3) it follows that

$$\partial_u(\partial_v \phi) = \frac{\alpha}{(uv + \alpha)^2} + \frac{a^2 \lambda \ln^2\left(\frac{v - v_0}{\lambda} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{v - v_0}{\lambda}\right)^2}\right)}{4\pi(uv + \alpha)} f(u - u_0) + \dots . \quad (13)$$

The right hand side of the above equation is positive near (u_0, v_0) , and hence, the field $\partial_v \phi$ decreases as one moves towards the horizon. Furthermore, since the tachyon pulse increases in strength as one moves towards the horizon, the next iteration using the second equation in (3) shows that the discontinuity in $\partial_v \phi$ also increases towards the horizon.

Thus one sees that the tachyon pulse and the cusp in the dilaton field grow stronger as one moves towards the horizon. Hence as discussed above, if there is a static end to this evolution of a tachyon pulse thrown into the black hole, it is very likely to be the singular static solution described in [7] whose nature is completely different from that of a black hole. However, if the initial tachyon wave is smooth and weak enough without any rough perturbation in its evolution, then it may evolve into the smooth configuration described in [8], which is that of a black hole with non zero tachyon field. Thus it appears

that any generic tachyon pulse (or any irregularity in a typical tachyon wave) thrown into the black hole destabilises it and turns it into a singular object with no resemblance to the original black hole.

The scenario and the analysis described here can be likened to placing a localised charge on a perfect conductor and analysing its subsequent distribution. Only, here the tachyon pulse localised at $v = v_0$ and placed on the line $u = u_0$ eventually grows without bound and destabilises the original system (the u -coordinate here is analogous to time, and moving towards horizon is analogous to evolving in time).

The example given above describes the behaviour of a tachyon pulse near (u_0, v_0) . The expressions in this example are valid only in the neighbourhood of (u_0, v_0) . But they illustrate the features of our scenario which will very likely lead to the formation of a singular object starting from a non singular one. However, it is desirable to obtain an explicit analytic or numerical solution to equations (3) that describe this process in full detail and are valid everywhere. Work on this project is in progress.

IV. Peet et al. argued in [8] that a regular configuration cannot evolve into a singular one, based on the assumption that for the string coupling $e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}}$ to blow up, it must first develop a local maximum, accompanied by a local minimum. They then show that the maximum-minimum can never separate and hence no singular configuration can evolve. Their assumption is not correct. For one thing, a blow up can occur as in our scenario starting from a cusp which is neither a maximum nor a minimum and which can be seeded by an incoming tachyon pulse. For another, suppose that the string coupling diverging at the horizon *did* evolve from a maximum-minimum. Then when the “maximum” diverges to $+\infty$ corresponding to infinite string coupling at one edge of the horizon, the accompanying minimum would diverge to $-\infty$ at presumably the other edge of the horizon corresponding to vanishing string coupling there. But this case would not correspond to the singular solution of [7] where the string coupling diverges to $+\infty$ at *both* the edges of the horizon. In contrast, in the scenario proposed here the cusp in the string coupling would diverge to $+\infty$, with its two sides conceivably at the two edges of the horizon, as in [7].

Ideally, one would like to solve the full non linear equations (3) and understand the evolution of black hole when an arbitrary tachyon pulse/wave

is incident on it. However, solving these equations analytically is a difficult task. Perhaps numerical calculations will provide some insight into this process.

V. The scenario described here can be applied in a different context. In ref. [3] (see also [4]) the Hawking radiation and its back reaction were analysed in $1 + 1$ dimensions and a static singular solution was found in which the curvature scalar reaches a maximum before diverging to $-\infty$ at the horizon. Simultaneously, the string coupling also reaches a maximum and then tends to zero at the horizon. This solution could represent [3] a massive remnant [5], a candidate for DBH. But using an argument similar to the one in [8] the authors of [3] argue that the string coupling in the case of a $1 + 1$ dimensional black hole [2] cannot develop a maximum before the horizon and hence conclude that the black holes formed in a collapse process cannot evolve into a massive remnant described by the singular solution in [3, 4].

However, in a scenario similar to the one proposed here, the string coupling can develop a cusp if the incident matter distribution (or any perturbation in it) is localised and peaked sharply enough. This cusp would evade the arguments given in [3, 8], and can evolve into a maximum before the horizon, leading very likely to the singular configuration of [3] which could well be a massive remnant, thus strengthening its case as a DBH.

Though these remnants do not come with no strings attached, so to say, they could potentially describe DBH, at least in $1 + 1$ dimensions [3]-[5] — they can carry enough information in them to solve the “information puzzle” and do not have problems associated with infinite density of states that plague the planck mass remnants. The massive remnants have problems associated with causality [5] and naked singularity (if described by the static solutions of [3]). Also, understanding its spectrum and the physics responsible for stopping the Hawking radiation, storing the information, etc. are challenging problems. If the massive remnants have curvature singularities as in [3], then semiclassical theories may not be applicable in the regions of strong curvature and the objections raised by Preskill in [6] against massive remnants may have to wait until one understands how to deal with the (naked) singularities. See [2]-[6] and references therein for some of the recent reviews which deal with the issues related to the massive remnants.

VI. To summarise, the $d = 2$ string and the $1 + 1$ dimensional toy models appear to be rich enough to describe the formation and the evolution of black holes. It also appears possible that the end state of a black hole could be described by a static singular solution and that the equations in the above models could very well describe such an evolution process. But these equations are nonlinear and hard to solve. What we reported here is a possible scenario of black hole evolution according to these equations. It would be desirable and worthwhile to understand the evolution process as fully as possible, perhaps with the help of numerical computations.

It is a pleasure to thank S. Sen for encouragement and to acknowledge a helpful suggestion from the referee. This work is supported by EOLAS Scientific Research Program SC/92/206.

References

- [1] M. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. **B337** (1990) 37;
 S. Elitzur, A. Forge, and E. Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. **B359** (1991) 581;
 G. Mandal, A. Sengupta, and S. R. Wadia,
 Mod. Phys. Lett. **A6** (1991) 1685;
 E. Witten, Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991) 314;
 M. Rocek, K. Schoutens, and A. Sevrin, Phys. Lett. **B265** (1991) 303.
- [2] C. G. Callan et al., Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 1005.
 An earlier study of gravitational collapse in two dimensions is in R. B. Mann, M. S. Morris, and S. F. Ross, Class. Quant. Grav. **10** (1993) 1477; R. B. Mann and S. F. Ross, Class. Quant. Grav. **9** (1992) 2335.
 For recent reviews and more references on $1 + 1$ dimensional toy models see, for example, S. B. Giddings, preprint UCSBTH-92-36;
 S. B. Giddings and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D47** (1993) 2454;
 S. P. de Alwis, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 5429;
 J. A. Harvey and A. Strominger, preprint EFI-92-41.
- [3] L. Susskind and L. Thorlacius, Nucl. Phys. **B382** (1992) 123.
- [4] B. Birnir et al., Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 638;
 S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 406.

- [5] S. B. Giddings, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 1347; preprint UCSBTH-93-08.
- [6] J. Preskill, preprint CALT-68-1819;
D. Page, preprint Alberta-Thy-23-93;
R. M. Wald, U. Chicago preprint, lectures at 1991 Erice School on
Black Hole Physics.
- [7] S. K. Rama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 3186;
Nucl. Phys. **B407** (1993) 191.
- [8] A. Peet, L. Susskind and L. Thorlacius, preprint SU-ITP-93-10.
- [9] S. P. de Alwis and J. Lykken, Phys. Lett **B269** (1991) 264.
- [10] G. A. Diamandis et al., Phys. Lett. **B297** (1992) 67;
J. G. Russo, Phys. Rev. **D47** (1993) 4188;
S. Chaudhuri and D. Minic, preprint UTTG-30-92.